

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

Ry



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,413	01/23/2002	Michael Kagan	3891-0105P	3429

7590 08/26/2004

Dr. Mark M. Friedman, ltd.
c/o Discovery Dispatch
9003 Florin Way
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772

EXAMINER

LIN, KELVIN Y

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2142

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/052,413

Applicant(s)

KAGAN ET AL.

Examiner

Kelvin Lin

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) *
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/08/02.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Dobbins et al., (U.S. Patent 5509123).
2. Regarding claim 1, Dobbins teaches a network interface adapter, comprising:
 - a network interface, coupled to send and receive data packets over a network (Dobbins, col. 2, l.31-32, col.7, l.35-36);
 - a host interface, for coupling to a host processor and to a system memory associated therewith (Dobbins, col. 7, l. 49-53, l.61-63), the system memory containing context information with respect to a plurality of transport service instances used to send and receive the data packets over the network, each of the data packets belonging to a respective one of the service instances (Dobbins, col.6, l. 18-24);
 - packet processing circuitry, coupled between the network interface and the host interface, and adapted to process the data packets using the context information of the respective service instances

(Dobbins, Fig. 3B, Fig.4, col. 7, l.34-38, l.41-48, such as, the forward engine is treated as a packet processor that is coupled between the network interface and the host interface); and

- a cache memory associated with the packet processing circuitry and coupled to load from the system memory and store the context information of the respective transport service instances for the data packets being processed by the packet processing circuitry (Dobbins, Fig. 3D, col. 6, l.16-22, col.7, l.62-64).

3. Regarding claim 2, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 1, wherein the transport services instances have respective instance numbers, and wherein the cache memory comprises one or more tables having entries indicating the context information of the respective transport service instances, indexed by a portion of the service instance numbers (Dobbins, Fig. 15, col. 7, l.62-64, col. 8, l.1-2, col.28, l.2-3).
4. Regarding claim 3, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 2, wherein the portion of the instance numbers comprises a predetermined number of the least significant bits of the instance numbers (Dobbins, col. 7, l.61-64, col.10, l.10-11.).
5. Regarding claim 4, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 2, wherein the one or more tables comprise at least two tables (Dobbins, Fig. 12, col. 9, l. 50-54).
6. Regarding claim 5, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 2,

wherein the entries comprise respective target fields, corresponding to at least a segment of the service instance numbers of the service instances to which the entries belong (Dobbin, col. 10, 10-12),

and wherein the target fields are compared to the segment of the service instance numbers of the data packets to determine that a cache hit has occurred (Dobbins, col. 10, l. 1-3),

whereupon the packet processing circuitry reads the context information from one of the tables (Dobbins, col. 10, l. 18-27).

7. Regarding claim 6, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 5, wherein when the cache hit does not occur, the context information is read from the system memory and loaded into the cache memory (Dobbins, col. 7, l. 65-67).
8. Regarding claim 7, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 1, wherein the packet processing circuitry comprises a cache controller, which is adapted, responsive to a request from the circuitry to access the context information in the cache memory with respect to one of the service instances, to determine whether a cache hit has occurred, and when the cache hit has not occurred, to read the requested context information from the system memory and load the requested context information into the cache memory in place of the context information of another one of the service instances (Dobbins, col. 7, l. 65-67, col. 8, l. 1-30).
9. Regarding claim 8, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 7, wherein the context information is organized in the cache memory using a

plurality of tables having entries referenced by respective indices (Dobbins, col.11, l.29-32),

and wherein the cache controller is adapted, while reading the requested context information from the system memory for one of the service instances having a given one of the indices, to block access by the packet processing circuitry to the context information of the service instances having the given one of the indices, while enabling the packet processing circuitry to access the context information of the service instances with other indices (Dobbins, col 14, l.10-11, col. 18, l.64-67, col.19, l.1-10) .

10. Regarding claim 9, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 7 wherein the cache controller is adapted, responsive to the request to access the context information, to set a flag with respect to the service instance for which the context information is requested indicating that the context information is in use (Dobbins, col, 14, l.8-10)

and wherein the cache controller is further adapted, upon loading the context information into the cache memory, to store the loaded context information in the cache in place of the context information of another one of the service instances whose flag is not set (Dobbins, col.19, l.7-10).

11. Regarding claim 10, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 1, wherein the context information loaded into the cache memory comprises one or more fields that are updated by the packet processing circuitry in the course of processing the data packets (Dobbins, col.7, l.67), and

wherein the updated fields are copied back to the context information in the system memory after the data packets have been processed (Dobbins, col. 8, l.1-2).

12. Regarding claim 11, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 10, wherein the updated fields comprise packet serial numbers of packets processed by the circuitry (Dobbins, col. 8, l.1-2).
13. Regarding claim 12, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 1, wherein the context information stored in the cache memory comprises a send cache, containing the context information pertaining to packets generated responsive to requests submitted by the host processor, and a receive cache, containing the context information pertaining to packets generated responsive to requests submitted to the adapter by remote entities over the network (Dobbin, col.9, l.50-54).
14. Regarding claim 13, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 1, wherein the packet processing circuitry comprises: an outgoing packet generator, adapted to generate the packets for delivery to remote entities via the network; and an incoming packet processor, coupled to receive and process the packets from the remote entities via the network, wherein both the outgoing packet generator and the incoming packet processor are coupled to access the same context information in the cache memory (Dobbins, col. 7, l.31-40).
15. Regarding claim 14, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 13,

wherein the outgoing packet generator is adapted to generate the packets for delivery to the remote entities responsive both to outgoing requests submitted by the host processor via the host interface and to incoming requests conveyed by the packets received from the remote entities (Dobbins, col. 6, l.38-40).

16. Regarding claim 15, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 14, wherein the incoming packet processor is adapted to process both the packets that are received from the remote entities responsive to the outgoing requests conveyed by the packets delivered to the remote entities and the packets that are received from the remote entities conveying the incoming requests (Dobbins, col. 6, l.38-40, col.7, l.35-36, such as, the distributed autonomous forwarding engine resides in the host and remote entities and processes the transmit and receive packets.)
17. Regarding claim 16, Dobbins further discloses an adapter according to claim 1, wherein the transport service instances comprises queue pairs, which are used to interact with a transport layer of the network (Dobbins, col. 6, l.22, such as, share memory queue and host message queue).
18. Claims 17-32 have similar limitation as claims 1-16. Therefore, claims 17-32 are rejected under Dobbins for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1-16.
19. Claims 33-36 have similar limitation as claims 1, and 13-15. Therefore, claims 33-36 are rejected under Dobbins for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1, and 13-15.

20. Claim 37 has similar limitation as claim 17. Therefore, claims 37 is rejected under Dobbins for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claims 17.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to application's disclosure.

- Forin A., (Patent No. 6360220) Lock-Free Methods and Systems for Accessing and Storing Information in an Indexed Computer Data Structure Having Modifiable Entries.
- Boucher et al., (Patent No. 6389479) Intelligent Network Interface Device and System for Accelerated Communication.
- Philbrick., (PG Pub No. 20010037406) Intelligent Network Storage Interface System.
- NPL – Welsh et al., Memory management for user-level network interface, IEEE Micro

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 703-605-1726.

The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 703-305-9705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2142

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kyl
8/20/04


JACK B. HARVEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER